

Exploratory biomarker analysis of the phase 3 RATIONALE 305 trial: First-line (1L) tislelizumab (TIS) + chemotherapy (CT) vs placebo (PBO) + CT for advanced gastric cancer/gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (GC/GEJC)

Ludovic Evesque,¹ Miao-Zhen Qiu,² Kai Wang,³ Jingwen Shi,³ Yang Shi,³ Mingyu Lai,² Jing Yang,² Do-Youn Oh,⁴ Yuxian Bai,⁵ Ruiqi Huang,⁶ David S. Shames,⁷ Rui-Hua Xu²

¹Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China; Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sun Yat-sen University; and Research Unit of Precision Diagnosis and Treatment for Gastrointestinal Cancer, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China; ³Clinical Biomarkers, BeOne Medicines, Ltd., Beijing, China; ⁴Seoul National University Hospital, Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Integrated Major in Innovative Medical Science, Seoul National University Graduate School, Seoul, South Korea; ⁵Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, Harbin, China; ⁶Statistics, BeOne Medicines, Ltd., Shanghai, China; ⁷Clinical Biomarkers, BeOne Medicines, Ltd., San Carlos, CA, USA.

Introduction: In the randomised phase 3 RATIONALE 305 trial (NCT03777657), patients (pts) with advanced GC/GEJC treated with 1L TIS + CT had significantly improved overall survival (OS) vs PBO + CT. We present exploratory biomarker and molecular subtyping results from RATIONALE 305 derived using RNA sequencing (RNAseq) and whole exome sequencing (WES) data.

Patients and Methods: RNAseq (507/997, 50.9%) of baseline (BL) tumor tissue was used to assess gene expression signatures (GES); GES subgroups were defined by median cutoff. WES (235/997, 23.6%) of BL tumor tissue and matching blood samples was performed to assess tumor mutational burden (TMB), human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genotyping, significantly mutated genes, and cytoband amplifications (amp). Multicolour immunohistochemistry was employed as a validation assay. Associations between biomarker status and OS were evaluated. All *P* values are descriptive.

Results: BL characteristics in the GES- or WES-evaluable pts were similar to the ITT population. High inflammation (eg, cytotoxic T cells [CTL]) and low immunosuppression (eg, neutrophils) GES were associated with improved OS for TIS + CT vs PBO + CT (**Table**). High clonal TMB was linked to improved OS with TIS + CT vs PBO + CT (**Table**), while total TMB showed no clear association. Non-HLA B27 supertype or *TP53* wildtype or 20q13.13 non- amp were associated with improved OS benefit with TIS + CT vs PBO + CT (**Table**). A novel molecular scoring system incorporating WES-derived biomarkers (favourable: cTMB-

high, ARID1A mutation, B62 supertype; risk-associated: TP53 mutation, 20q13/11q13 amplifications, B27 supertype) was used to stratify patients into molecular subtypes (MS1-MS4), with varying treatment benefit (**Table**). Immunofluorescence validation confirmed that patients with higher baseline intratumoural IFN γ +CD8+ T-cells correlated with a trend towards improved OS compared with those with lower IFN γ +CD8+ T-cells.

Conclusion: This exploratory biomarker analysis found that high CTL GES and low neutrophil GES, as well as clonal TMB, certain HLA genotypes, TP53 wildtype, and cytoband non-amp were all associated with OS benefit in 1L TIS + CT vs PBO + CT treated pts with advanced GC/GEJC. These findings lay the foundation for the development of clinically meaningful biomarkers that can facilitate identification of patients with a higher likelihood of achieving benefit from immunotherapy in advanced GC/GEJC

Table

| Population | Subpopulation | TIS + CT vs PBO + CT | | | |
|---------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|
| | | N | OS HR (95% CI) | P value | Interaction P value |
| GES evaluable | | 507 | | | |
| | CTL high | 254 | 0.69 (0.52, 0.91) | 0.009 | 0.063 |
| | CTL low | 253 | 1.00 (0.76, 1.32) | 0.999 | |
| | Neutrophil high | 254 | 1.01 (0.76, 1.33) | 0.970 | 0.044 |
| | Neutrophil low | 253 | 0.67 (0.50, 0.89) | 0.005 | |
| WES evaluable | | 235 | | | |
| | High cTMB (90 th percentile) | 24 | 0.37 (0.14, 0.95) | 0.038 | 0.125 |
| | Low cTMB (90 th percentile) | 211 | 0.80 (0.59, 1.08) | 0.144 | |
| | HLA B27 | 52 | 1.30 (0.70, 2.41) | 0.401 | 0.045 |
| | HLA non-B27 | 183 | 0.64 (0.46, 0.89) | 0.007 | |
| | TP53 mutation ^a | 148 | 0.96 (0.67, 1.38) | 0.825 | 0.025 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----|----------------------|--------|-------|
| | <i>TP53</i> wildtype | 84 | 0.48 (0.29, 0.78) | 0.003 | |
| | 20q13.13 non-amp | 205 | 0.64 (0.47, 0.88) | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| | 20q13.13 amp | 30 | 2.58 (1.15, 5.79) | 0.021 | |
| | MS1 | 59 | 2.32 (1.26, 4.27) | 0.007 | |
| | MS2 | 59 | 0.90 (0.52, 1.56) | 0.712 | |
| | MS3 | 71 | 0.53 (0.32, 0.89) | 0.017 | |
| | MS4 | 46 | 0.27 (0.13, 0.53) | <0.001 | |

^aPts with *TP53* amplification not included