

FIRST INTERIM ANALYSIS OF ALPINE STUDY: RESULTS OF A PHASE 3 RANDOMIZED STUDY OF ZANUBRUTINIB VS IBRUTINIB IN PATIENTS WITH RELAPSED/REFRACTORY CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA/SMALL LYMPHOCYTIC LYMPHOMA

Authors: Peter Hillmen, MBChB, PhD,¹; Barbara Eichhorst, MD²; Jennifer R. Brown, MD, PhD³; Nicole Lamanna MD⁴; Susan O'Brien, MD⁵; Constantine S. Tam, MBBS, MD^{6,7,8,9}; Lugui Qiu, MD, PhD¹⁰; Maciej Kazmierczak, MD, PhD¹¹; Keshu Zhou, MD, PhD¹²; Martin Šimkovič, MD, PhD^{13,14}; Jiri Mayer, MD¹⁵; Amanda Gillespie-Twardy, MD¹⁶; Mazyar Shadman, MD, MPH^{17,18}; Alessandra Ferrajoli, MD¹⁹; Peter S. Ganly, BMBCh, PhD^{20,21}; Robert Weinkove, MBBS, PhD^{22,23}; Tommi Salmi, MD²⁴; Meng Ji, MD²⁴; Jessica Yecies, PhD²⁴; Kenneth Wu, PhD²⁴; William Novotny, MD²⁴; Jane Huang, MD²⁴; Wojciech Jurczak, MD, PhD²⁵

Affiliations: ¹St James's University Hospital, Leeds, United Kingdom; ²Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ³Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; ⁵Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA; ⁶Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁷University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ⁸St Vincent's Hospital, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia; ⁹Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁰Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin, China; ¹¹Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland; ¹²Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; ¹³4th Department of Internal Medicine - Hematology, University Hospital, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic; ¹⁴Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic; ¹⁵Department of Internal Medicine-Hematology and Oncology, Masaryk University and University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic; ¹⁶Blue Ridge Cancer Care, Roanoke, VA, USA; ¹⁷Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁸Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁹Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ²⁰Department of Haematology, Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand; ²¹Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand; ²²Wellington Blood and Cancer Centre, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New Zealand; ²³Malaghan Institute of Medical Research, Wellington, New Zealand; ²⁴BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China and BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; and ²⁵Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland

Background: Treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL) has been transformed with the advent of effective inhibitors of B-cell receptor signaling, such as Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors. First-generation BTK inhibitor ibrutinib is a standard of care in CLL/SLL. Zanubrutinib is an irreversible, potent, next-generation BTK inhibitor designed to maximize BTK occupancy and minimize off-target inhibition of TEC- and EGFR-family kinases. It was hypothesized that the increased specificity of zanubrutinib may minimize toxicities related to ibrutinib off-target inhibition (Coutre *Blood Adv.* 2019;3:1799-807) and more complete and sustained BTK occupancy may improve efficacy outcomes. Activity

and tolerability of zanubrutinib in patients with CLL/SLL has been demonstrated in early phase trials (Tam *Blood* 2019;134:851-9; Tam *Haematologica* 2020;epub).


Aims: ALPINE (BGB-3111-305) is a global, randomized, phase 3 study comparing zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib in patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) CLL/SLL. Here we present the results of a pre-planned interim analysis scheduled approximately 12 mo after the first 415 out of 652 patients were enrolled.

Methods: Patients with R/R CLL/SLL were randomly assigned 1:1 to receive zanubrutinib 160 mg twice daily or ibrutinib 420 mg once daily until disease progression. Randomization was stratified by age (<65 years vs ≥65 years), geographic region, refractory status, and del17p/TP53 mutation status. The primary end point was overall response rate (ORR) as determined by investigators using the 2008 iwCLL guidelines for CLL and the Lugano criteria for SLL. Sample size was calculated to provide 90% power to demonstrate non-inferiority of zanubrutinib to ibrutinib response ratio at the non-inferiority margin of 0.8558. A hierarchical testing approach was implemented to test the superiority of zanubrutinib over ibrutinib in ORR if non-inferiority was demonstrated.

Results: Between 5 Nov 2018 and 20 Dec 2019, 415 patients were randomized. Treatment groups were well balanced for demographic and disease characteristics: age ≥65 years 62.3% vs 61.5%, male 68.6% vs 75%, >3 prior lines of therapy 7.2% vs 10.1%, del17p 11.6% vs 12.5%, TP53 mutated without del17p 8.2% vs 5.8%, in zanubrutinib and ibrutinib arms, respectively. At a median follow-up of 15 mo, ORR was significantly higher with zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib (78.3% vs 62.5%, 2-sided $P=0.0006$ compared with pre-specified alpha of 0.0099 for interim analysis). ORR was higher in patients with del11q (83.6% vs 69.1%) and del17p (83.3% vs 53.8%) with zanubrutinib, as were overall 12-mo PFS (94.9% vs 84.0%, Figure) and OS rates (97.0% vs 92.7%). The rate of atrial fibrillation/flutter, a pre-specified safety endpoint, was significantly lower with zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib (2.5% vs 10.1%, 2-sided $P=0.0014$, compared with pre-specified alpha of 0.0099 for interim analysis). Rates of major bleeding (2.9% vs 3.9%), and adverse events leading to discontinuation (7.8% vs 13.0%) or death (3.9% vs 5.8%) were also lower with zanubrutinib. Rate of neutropenia was higher with zanubrutinib (28.4% vs 21.7%), while grade ≥3 infections were lower with zanubrutinib (12.7% vs 17.9%).

Summary/Conclusion: In this interim analysis of a randomized, phase 3 ALPINE study in patients with R/R CLL/SLL, zanubrutinib was shown to have a superior response rate, an improved PFS and a lower rate of atrial fibrillation/flutter as compared with ibrutinib. These data confirm that more selective BTK inhibition, with more complete and sustained BTK occupancy results in improved efficacy and safety outcomes. NCT03734016

Figure. PFS by Investigator Assessment



No. of Patients at Risk	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24
Zanubrutinib	207	200	194	190	152	70	19	5	0
Ibrutinib	208	196	188	170	125	57	8	3	0

*Not a prespecified analysis; formal analysis of PFS will be based on all patients when the target number of events are reached.

Median PFS follow-up was 14.0 months for both zanubrutinib and ibrutinib arms by reverse KM method.