

A Phase 2 study of tislelizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated, locally advanced unresectable or metastatic microsatellite instability-high/mismatch repair deficient solid tumors

<u>Jian Li</u>,¹ Ye Xu,² Aimin Zang,³ Yunong Gao,¹ Quanli Gao,⁴ Yanqiao Zhang,⁵ Dong Wang,⁶ Jianming Xu,⁷ Ying Yuan,⁸ Haiping Jiang,⁹ Jieer Ying,¹⁰ Chunmei Shi,¹¹ Yanhong Deng,¹² Jing Wang,¹³ Tianshu Liu,¹⁴ Yi Huang,¹⁵ Zhezhen Li,¹⁶ Yidi Wang,¹⁶ Cong Fei,¹⁶ Lin Shen¹

¹Beijing Cancer Hospital, Beijing, China; ²Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China; ³Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, Hebei, China; ⁴Henan Cancer Hospital, Henan, China; ⁵Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China; ⁶Chongqing University Cancer Hospital, Chongqing, China; ⁷The Fifth Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China; ⁸The Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang, China; ⁹The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang, China; ¹⁰Cancer Hospital of The University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; ¹¹Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fujian, China; ¹²The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; ¹³Hunan Cancer Hospital, Hunan, China; ¹⁴Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; ¹⁵Hubei Cancer Hospital, Hubei, China; ¹⁶BeiGene (Shanghai) Co, Ltd., Shanghai, China



Disclosures



Introduction

MSI-H/dMMR tumors share common histopathologic characteristics that may render them susceptible to immune CPI such as anti-PD-1/PD-L1 mAbs^{1–3}

Pembrolizumab data indicates MSI-H/dMMR as a strong predictive biomarker for immunotherapy and supports a tissue-agnostic approach for the treatment of MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors

Tislelizumab is an anti-PD-1 mAb with high affinity and specificity for PD-1, designed to minimize binding to FcγR on macrophages and thereby potentially avoid antibody-dependent phagocytosis⁴

In early-phase clinical studies, tislelizumab monotherapy was generally well tolerated and had antitumor activity in patients with solid tumors, including MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors such as CRC⁵

We report the results of a Phase 2 study that evaluated the efficacy and safety of tislelizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated, locally advanced unresectable or metastatic MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors

1. Dudley JC, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2016;22:813–20; 2. Llosa NJ, et al Cancer Discov 2015;5:43–51; 3. Giannakis M, et al. Cell Rep 2016;15:857–65;

4. Zhang T, et al. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2018;67:1079–90; 5. Shen L, et al. J Immunother Cancer 2020;8:e000437

CPI, checkpoint inhibitors; CRC, colorectal cancer; dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; mAb, monoclonal antibody; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; PD-1, programmed death protein-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand-1



Study design

A single-arm, nonrandomized, open-label, multicenter pivotal study (NCT03736889) was conducted at 26 sites in China



• Safety and tolerability

*> 2 prior regimens for CRC; >1 prior regimen for other cancer types; †Required patient re-consent, the absence of clinical signs and symptoms of disease progression, and ECOG PS < 1 CRC, colorectal cancer; DCR, disease control rate; dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score; IRC, independent committee review; IV, intravenously; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; Q3W, every three weeks; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TTR, time to response



Baseline demographics and characteristics (primary efficacy analysis set)

Characteristic	All patients (N=74)
Median age (range), years	53 (19–75)
Male, n (%)	42 (56.8)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	33 (44.6)
1	41 (55.4)
Tumor type, n (%)	
CRC	46 (62.2)
Endometrial cancer	13 (17.6)
G/GEJ cancer	8 (10.8)
Small bowel adenocarcinoma	3 (4.1)
Other*	4 (5.4)
Disease status at baseline, n (%)	
Locally advanced	1 (1.4)
Metastatic	73 (98.6)
Prior therapies, n (%) [†]	
Median no. of prior regimens (range)	2 (0–7)

Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020

*Including one patient for each of the following: ampullary carcinoma, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, and pelvis clear cell carcinoma; [†]One patient with endometrial cancer had no prior anticancer therapy

CRC, colorectal cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score; G/GEJ, gastric or gastroesophageal junction



Primary endpoint: IRC-assessed ORR (primary efficacy analysis set)

	All patients (N=74)	CRC (N=46)	Non-CRC (N=28)	
ORR (CR + PR)				
n (%)	34 (45.9)	18 (39.1)	16 (57.1)	
95% CI	34.3, 57.9	25.1,54.6	37.2,75.5	
P-value*	< 0.0001	-	-	
Confirmed best overall response, n (%)				
CR	4 (5.4)	2 (4.3)	2 (7.1)	
PR	30 (40.5)	16 (34.8)	14 (50.0)	
SD	19 (25.7)	15 (32.6)	4 (14.3)	
PD	14 (18.9)	9 (19.6)	5 (17.9)	
NE [†]	7 (9.5)	4 (8.7)	3 (10.7)	

ORR following tislelizumab treatment (45.9%) was significantly higher than the historical control rate (10%)

ORR was **46.2% and 50.0%** in patients with endometrial cancer and G/GEJ cancer, respectively

Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020

*One-sided p-value calculated from a binomial exact test of tislelizumab vs historical rate of 0.1; †Includes patients with non-evaluable tumor assessments and patients without tumor assessments (due to death, withdrawal of consent, lost to follow-up, or any other reasons); 95% CI calculated using Clopper-Pearson method

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; CRC, colorectal cancer; G/GEJ, gastric or gastroesophageal junction; IRC, independent review committee; NE, non-evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease



Secondary endpoints: DCR, TTR, and DOR (primary efficacy analysis set)



Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020

*Data are presented for patients with post-baseline target lesion measurements

CRC, colorectal cancer; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; G/GEJ, gastric or gastroesophageal junction; TTR, time to response



Secondary endpoints: PFS and OS (primary efficacy analysis set)

Median PFS and OS were not reached

12-month survival rates, % (95% CI)	PFS	OS
All tumor types	59.3 (46.2, 70.2)	75.3 (62.6, 84.2)
CRC	57.7 (40.6, 71.5)	77.2 (60.6, 87.5)
Other cancer types	62.2 (41.1, 77.6)	73.2 (51.4, 86.4)

Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020 CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival



Secondary endpoints: Safety and tolerability (safety analysis set, 1/2)

Median number of tislelizumab treatment cycles received



Patients, n (%)	All patients (N=80)
Any TEAE / TRAE	80 (100.0) / 79 (98.8)
≥ Grade 3 TEAE / TRAE	38 (47.5) / 34 (42.5)
Serious TEAE / TRAE	27 (33.8) / 21 (26.3)
≥ Grade 3 serious TRAE	14 (17.5)
TEAE / TRAE leading to death	5 (6.3) / 3 (3.8)
TEAE / TRAE leading to treatment discontinuation	4 (5.0) / 4 (5.0)
TEAE / TRAE leading to dose modification	29 (36.3) / 25 (31.3)

TRAEs leading to death were reported in three patients including one case each of: respiratory failure, large intestinal obstruction, and death

Time

Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020

All AEs are treatment-emergent and graded based on National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.03)

AE, adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent AE; TRAE, treatment-related AE



Secondary endpoints: Safety and tolerability (safety analysis set, 2/2)

TRAEs reported in \geq 15% of patients

Patients, n (%)	All patients (N=80)
ALT increased	23 (28.8)
≥ Grade 3	3 (3.8)
Blood bilirubin increased	20 (25.0)
≥ Grade 3	1 (1.3)
AST increased	19 (23.8)
≥ Grade 3	3 (3.8)
White blood cell count decreased	18 (22.5)
≥ Grade 3	1 (1.3)
Neutrophil count decreased	12 (15.0)
≥ Grade 3	0
Anemia	35 (43.8)
≥ Grade 3	8 (10.0)
Hypothyroidism	15 (18.8)
≥ Grade 3	0
Rash	15 (18.8)
≥ Grade 3	1 (1.3)

Exactly Service A constraints of the service of

Data cut-off date: 07 December 2020

All AEs are treatment-emergent and graded based on National Cancer Institute–Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.03) AE, adverse events; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TEAE, treatment-emergent AE; TRAE, treatment-related AE



Summary and conclusions

- Tislelizumab monotherapy demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in ORR in patients with previously treated, locally advanced unresectable or metastatic MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors
 - Tislelizumab treatment demonstrated consistent efficacy across tumor types and a durable response
 - This supports the rationale that MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors are a unique set of cancers that have the same pharmacological effects regardless of tumor site
- Tislelizumab was generally well tolerated, with few patients discontinuing treatment due to TRAEs, and no new safety signals were identified
- The results of this Phase 2 study support tislelizumab as a potential new treatment option in this MSI-H/dMMR biomarker-defined population
- Longer follow-up time will further verify the clinical benefit of tislelizumab in MSI-H/dMMR solid tumors

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; ORR, objective response rate; TRAE; treatment-related adverse events



Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients and their families for their participation in the study, and the site personnel for their support during the conduct of this important trial



This study was sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd. Medical writing support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Kirsty Millar, MSc, and Claire White, PhD, of Ashfield MedComms, an Ashfield Health company, and was funded by BeiGene Ltd

