Efficacy of Continuous Zanubrutinib vs Fixed-Duration Venetoclax in Combination With Obinutuzumab in Treatment-Naïve Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: A Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparison

Talha Munir,¹ Keri Yang,² Leyla Mohseninejad,³ Sheng Xu,⁴ Pal Rakonczai,⁵ Balazs Dobi,⁵ Rhys Williams,² Nicolas Martinez-Calle⁶

¹Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK; ²BeOne Medicines Ltd, San Carlos, CA, USA; ³BeOne Medicines Ltd, Schiphol, the Netherlands; ⁴BeOne Medicines Ltd, Shanghai, China; ⁵Evidera, London, UK; ⁶Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

CONCLUSIONS

- This unanchored matching-adjusted indirect comparison (MAIC) investigated the relative efficacy of zanubrutinib vs venetoclax + obinutuzumab and demonstrated zanubrutinib had longer progression-free survival and a trend for extended overall survival
- Results should be interpreted with considerations of MAIC model assumptions and limitations
- Further studies are needed to confirm these findings

Figure 1. Overall Methodology Details



Variables identified as potential treatment effect modifiers or prognostic factors for matching

Age, sex, ECOG PS, Binet stage, B symptoms^a, time from diagnosis, del(17p), del(11q), t12q, TP53 and IGHV mutation status, complex karyotype, CLL IPI stage, beta-2-microglobulin, creatinine clearance

Sensitivity analyses of model scenarios to consider impact of matching for different sets of variables

Matching, reweighting, and adjusting variables

CLL14 (VenO, N=216) Published aggregate data

(Median follow-up: 65.4 months)

adjusted population population **S2 S3 S4 S1** Effective Comple Cine (ECC)

Sample size for SEQUOIA	N		Effective	Effective Sample Size (ESS)					
zanubrutinib	352	163	154	56	116	108			

Sensitivity analyses

PFS-INV: zanubrutinib vs venetoclax + obinutuzumab

Hazard ratio	0.66	0.66	0.67	0.73	0.62	0.75
95% CI	0.48-0.89	0.44-0.97	0.45-1.01	0.41-1.33,	0.40-0.96,	0.49-1.15,
<i>P</i> value	<i>P</i> =.0077	<i>P</i> =.0351	<i>P</i> =.0529	<i>P</i> =.3076	<i>P</i> =.0336	<i>P</i> =.1884

OS: zanubrutinib vs venetoclax + obinutuzumab

Hazard ratio 95% Cl		0.89 0.55-1.46,			0.49-1.48,	· · ·
<i>P</i> value	<i>P</i> =.2423	<i>P</i> =.6468	<i>P</i> =.5947	<i>P</i> =.8759	<i>P</i> =.5579	<i>P</i> =.9230

Table 3. Relative Treatment Effects For Base Case And Sensitivity Analyses

Main analysis

Unadjusted Base case-

209

INTRODUCTION

- The efficacy of continuous zanubrutinib has been evaluated in the SEQUOIA study (NCT03336333)¹ in treatment-naive chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL), while the combination of fixed-duration venetoclax + obinutuzumab (VenO) has been evaluated in CLL14 (NCT02242942)²
- In the absence of head-to-head clinical trials comparing zanubrutinib and VenO, an unanchored matching-adjusted indirect comparison (MAIC) was conducted between zanubrutinib (SEQUOIA) and VenO (CLL14)

METHODS

- The unanchored MAIC was conducted using study data with similar median follow-up periods (SEQUOIA, 62.7 months; CLL14, 65.4 months)
- An unanchored MAIC was applied given the lack of common comparator arms between the SEQUOIA and CLL14 trials
- Individual patient data (IPD) of zanubrutinib patients in SEQUOIA were reweighted to match the key population characteristics of VenO patients in CLL14 (Figure 1)
- Matching adjustments for age, sex, ECOG performance status, CLL/SLL patient proportion, disease stage, IGHV mutation status, beta-2 microglobulin, creatinine clearance, B symptoms, and time from diagnosis were considered based on data availability and magnitude of imbalance between populations (**Table 1**)
- To mitigate potential bias from the COVID-19 pandemic that overlapped in timing with SEQUOIA and not CLL14, additional analysis was conducted censoring for COVID-19 related deaths
- Subgroup analysis was also conducted for IGHV mutation status
- Pseudo-IPD for VenO were reconstructed from digitized Kaplan-Meier curves of progression-free survival per investigator (PFS-INV) and overall survival (OS) • Sensitivity analyses were conducted in model scenarios of different matching variables

• Zanubrutinib (SEQUOIA), N=352 • After population adjustments, ESS=163 for SEQUOIA

SEQUOIA CLL14 Balance

Additional analyses were conducted for impact of COVID-19 and IGHV mutation status

Outcomes Hazard Ratios (HR) for INV-PFS, OS: INV-PFS, OS Weighted Cox proportional hazard regression

B symptoms, constitutional symptoms associated with CLL including fever, night sweats, and weight loss

Abbreviations: CLL-IPI, International Prognostic Index for Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ESS, effective sample size; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; ITT, intention-to-treat; MAIC, matched-adjusted indirect comparison; OS, overall survival, PFS-INV, investigator assessed progression-free survival; VenO, venetoclax + obinutuzumab

RESULTS

• After applying the matching adjustment to align with the population characteristics of the VenO patients in CLL14 (N=216), the effective sample size (ESS) for zanubrutinib in SEQUOIA was 163 (**Table 2**)

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of Zanubrutinib Arm in SEQUOIA ITT and Post-Matching and VenO Arm in CLL14

	SEC	CLL14		
Characteristic	Zanubrutinib unadjusted ITT N=352	Zanubrutinib matched/adjusted ESS=163	Venetoclax + d obinutuzumab N=216	
Demographics				
Age ≥75 years, %	26.7	33.3	33.3	
Age, median, years	70.0	72.0	72.0	
Male sex, %	66.2	67.6	67.6	
Genetics, %				
Normal	15.9	23.8	23.8	
del(17p)	31.8	8.1	8.1	
del(11q)	11.6	17.1	17.1	
t12q	12.5	17.1	17.1	
TP53 mutation	18.2	12.0	12.0	
IGHV mutated	43.0	38.6	38.6	
Clinical characteristics				
ECOG PS=1 vs 0, %	48.0	45.8	45.8	
ECOG PS=2+ vs 0, %	8.2	13.0	13.0	
Binet stage B vs A, %	54.5	35.2	35.2	
Binet stage C vs A, %	31.0	43.5	43.5	
B symptoms, ^a %	57.1	48.0	48.0	
Time from initial diagnosis, median, months	29.0	31.0	31.0	
Laboratory parameters				
Beta2-microglobulin >3.5 mg/L, %	62.7	59.4	59.4	
Beta2-microglobulin, median, mg/L	4.0	3.9	3.9	
Creatinine clearance <70 mL/min vs >70 mL/min, %	48.3	59.5	59.5	
Creatinine clearance, median, mL/min	70.0	65.6	65.2	

COVID-19 adjusted

PFS-INV: zanubrutinib vs venetoclax + obinutuzumab

OS: zanubrutinib vs venetoclax + obinutuzumab

Hazard ratio	0.63	0.74	0.72	0.71	0.66	0.78
95% CI	0.41-0.98,	0.43-1.25	0.41-1.26	0.31-1.64	0.35-1.23	0.43-1.41
<i>P</i> value	<i>P</i> =.0394	<i>P</i> =.2587	<i>P</i> =.2481	<i>P</i> =.4232	<i>P</i> =.1924	<i>P</i> =.4116

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival, PFS-INV, investigator assessed progression-free survival.

Figure 2. PFS-INV



Abbreviations: INV, investigator assessed; ITT, intention to treat; MAIC, matching-adjusted indirect comparison; PFS, progression-free survival.

• The efficacy of zanubrutinib vs VenO was also compared in the IGHV unmutated subgroup; after matching (SEQUOIA, ESS=93; CLL14, n=121), HR_{PES-INV} was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.39-1.03; P=.0652) and 0.61 (95% CI: 0.37-0.99; P=.0475) for the base

Table 1. Variables Matched in the Base Case and Sensitivity Analyses

	Main a	Main analysis			Sensitivity analyses			
Variables	Unadjusted ITT population	Base case- adjusted population	S1	S2	S3	S4		
Demographics								
Age ≥75 %		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Age, median		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Male sex		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Genetics								
Normal		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
del(17p)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
del(11q)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
t12q		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
TP53 mutation		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
IGHV mutated		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Complex karyotype ≥3 abnormalities					\checkmark	\checkmark		
Clinical characteristics								
ECOG PS		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Binet stage		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
B symptoms		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Time from initial diagnosis, median		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Laboratory parameters								
Beta2-microglobulin >3.5 mg/L		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Beta2-macroglobulin, median		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Creatinine clearance <70 mL vs >70/min		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			

^aB symptoms, constitutional symptoms associated with CLL including fever, night sweats, and weight loss. Abbreviations: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ESS, effective sample size; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region

Efficacy Outcomes

- Zanubrutinib had longer PFS (HR_{PFS-INV} = 0.66 [95% CI: 0.44-0.97]; P=.0351) and a trend for extended OS (HR_{os}=0.89 [95% CI: 0.55-1.46]; *P*=.6468). (Table 3, Figure 2)
- Results were consistent after adjustment for COVID-19, $HR_{PFS-INV}$ =0.58 (95% CI:

and COVID-19 adjusted scenarios, respectively. (Figure 3A and 3B)





Creatinine clearance, median	\checkmark	\checkmark
------------------------------	--------------	--------------

CLL IPI Stage

^aB symptoms, constitutional symptoms associated with CLL including fever, night sweats, and weight loss.

Abbreviations: CLL-IPI, International Prognostic Index for Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ESS, effective sample size; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; ITT, intention-to-treat.

0.38-0.88; *P*=.0095) and HR_{os}=0.74 (95% CI: 0.43-1.25; *P*=.2587), suggesting

potential treatment benefit favoring zanubrutinib in terms of PFS-INV and OS,

respectively (Table 3)

 Sensitivity analyses exploring the impact of using different sets of matching factors showed consistent results (**Table 3**)

REFERENCES

1. Shadman M, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2025;43(7):780-787

2. Al-Sawaf O, et al. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1)2147.

DISCLOSURES

TM: Honoraria: BeOne Medicines Ltd, AstraZeneca, Sobi, Roche, Janssen, AbbVie, Lilly; Consultant: AbbVie, BeOne Medicines Ltd, Sobi, Alexion, Novartis, Janssen, AstraZeneca, Lilly, Roche; Research grants: Janssen, AbbVie; Travel, accommodations, or expenses: Alexion, BeOne edicines Ltd, AbbVie, Janssen, AstraZeneca; Advisory board: AbbVie, BeOne Medicines Ltd, AstraZeneca, Janssen. KY: Employment; may ow tock; research funding; travel, accommodations, or expenses; leadership role: BeOne Medicines Ltd. LM, SX, RW: Employment, may own stock: BeOne Medicines Ltd. PR, BD: Research funding: BeOne Medicines Ltd. NM-C: Advisory board: AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeOne Medicines Ltd, Takeda, CLS Behring; Speaker, honoraria: AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, BeOne Medicines Ltd.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was sponsored by BeOne Medicines Ltd. Medical writing was provided by Adam Ruth, PhD, of Nucleus Global, an Inizio company, and supported by BeOne Medicine

CORRESPONDENCE: Talha Munir, tmunir@nhs.net

Presented at the International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma (ICML); June 17-21, 2025; Lugano, Switzerland