Preliminary Efficacy and Safety of the Bruton Tyrosine Kinase Degrader P229 BGB-16673 in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Waldenström Macroglobulinemia: **Results From the Phase 1 CaDAnCe-101 Study**

Damien Roos-Weil,¹ John F. Seymour,² Constantine S. Tam,³ Chan Y. Cheah,⁴⁻⁶ Ricardo D. Parrondo,⁷ John N. Allan,⁸ Judith Trotman,⁹ Ranjana Advani,¹⁰ Herbert Eradat,¹¹ Pier Luigi Zinzani,¹² Masa Lasica,¹³ Steven P. Treon,¹⁴ Kunthel By,¹⁵ Shannon Fabre,¹⁵ Daniel Persky,¹⁵ Amit Agarwal,¹⁵ Anna Maria Frustaci¹⁶

¹Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ²Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ⁴Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, WA, Australia; ¹Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ²Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ⁴Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, WA, Au ⁵Medical School, University of Western Australia; ⁶Linear Clinical Research, Nedlands, WA, Australia; ⁶Linear Clinical Research, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedlands, Nedla Concord, NSW, Australia; ¹⁰Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford, CA, USA; ¹¹David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹²Institute of Hematology "Seràgnoli", University of Bologna, Italy; ¹³St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ¹⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ¹⁵BeiGene USA, Inc, San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁶ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy

INTRODUCTION

- Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors are effective in Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) but are associated with toxicities and/or resistance development^{1,2}
- BGB-16673 is a potential first-in-class protein degrader that blocks BTK signaling by tagging BTK for degradation through the cell's proteasome pathway³ (**Figure 1**)
- In preclinical models, BGB-16673 degraded both wild-type and mutant BTK resistant to covalent BTK (cBTK) inhibitors (C481S, C481F, C481Y, L528W, T474I) and noncovalent BTK (ncBTK) inhibitors (V416L, M437R, T474I, L528W), leading to tumor suppression^{3,4}
- BGB-16673 led to substantial reductions in BTK protein levels in peripheral blood and tumor tissue⁵
- TEAEs led to 1 death (septic shock in context of PD) and no treatment discontinuations

Table 2. Overall Safety Summary and TEAEs in ≥10% of All Patients

Patients, n (%)	Total (N=27)
Any TEAE	25 (92.6)
Any treatment-related	19 (70.4)
Grade ≥3	11 (40.7)
Treatment-related	7 (25.9)
Serious	7 (25.9)
Treatment-related	2 (7.4)
Leading to death ^a	1 (3.7)
Treatment-related	0
Leading to treatment discontinuation	0

CONCLUSIONS

- In phase 1 of CaDAnCe-101, the BTK degrader BGB-16673 was well tolerated in heavily pretreated patients with R/R WM
 - No DLTs occurred; MTD was not reached
 - No atrial fibrillation or hypertension reported
- Very good partial response 25.9% (7/27 patients); ORR 81.5% (22/27); disease control rate 93.0% (25/27)
 - Rapid decline in IgM with median time to first response of 1.0 month
 - Rapid improvement in cytopenias seen in patients who experience disease response

• Here, updated safety and efficacy results are presented in patients with relapsed or refractory (R/R) WM in phase 1 of CaDAnCe-101

Figure 1. BGB-16673: A BTK-Targeted CDAC

(A) Ternary complex formation



Attributes and Potential Advantages of BGB-16673

Catalytic pharmacology that does not require sustained target binding Can interrupt formation of oncogenic protein complexes (scaffolding)

Potential to overcome resistance mutations (eg, BTK C481S, C481F, C481Y, L528W, and V416L)

Substantially reduced immunomodulatory drug activity; Aiolos and Ikaros are not significantly degraded

CDAC, chimeric degradation activating compound; ub, ubiquitin.

METHODS

• CaDAnCe-101 (BGB-16673-101, NCT05006716) is a phase 1/2, open label, dose-escalation and dose-expansion study evaluating BGB-16673 in adults with R/R B-cell malignancies (Figure 2)

Figure 2. CaDAnCe-101 Study Design

CaDAnCe-101 (BGB-16673-101	Part 1: Monotherapy dose finding ^a		
NCT05006716)	Part 1a: Dose escalation	Part 1b: Safety expansion	Part 1c: Additional safety expansion
 Key eligibility criteria for WM Histologically confirmed, meeting IWWM-7 criteria for treatment ≥2 prior therapies, including apti CD20 	Selected R/R B-cell malignancies (MZL, FL, MCL, CLL/SLL, WM , DLBCL, RT) <i>n≤72</i> Oral, QD, 28-day cycle ^b Doses: 50 mg, 100 mg, 200 mg, 350 mg, 500 mg, 600 mg	Selected R/R B-cell malignancies (MZL, MCL, CLL/SLL, WM) n≤120	Selected R/R B-cell malignancies (MZL, WM, RT, DLBCL, FL) n≤100
monoclonal antibody and	Part 1d: Additional safety expansion	Part 1e: Additional safety expansion	Part 1f: Monotherapy safety expansion
 EU only) ECOG PS 0-2 & adequate end-organ function 	R/R CLL/SLL n≤30	Selected R/R B-cell malignancies (Japan only) (MZL, FL, MCL, CLL/SLL, WM) n=6-9	Selected BTK inhibitor-naive B-cell malignancies (MZL, MCL, CLL/SLL, WM, RT) n≤40
Key study objectives for			

	Total (Total (N=27)	
Patients, n (%)	All Grade	Grade ≥3	
Neutropenia ^b	8 (29.6)	7 (25.9)	
Diarrhea	7 (25.9)	0	
Anemia	5 (18.5)	3 (11.1)	
Contusion (bruising)	5 (18.5)	0	
Rash	5 (18.5)	0	
Thrombocytopenia ^c	5 (18.5)	2 (7.4)	
Amylase increased	4 (14.8)	0	
Dizziness	4 (14.8)	0	
Pyrexia	4 (14.8)	1 (3.7)	
Arthralgia	3 (11.1)	0	
Constipation	3 (11.1)	0	
COVID-19	3 (11.1)	0	
Fall	3 (11.1)	0	
Headache	3 (11.1)	0	
Lipase increased	3 (11.1)	1 (3.7)	
Muscle spasms	3 (11.1)	0	
Petechiae	3 (11.1)	0	

- Responses continue to deepen over time (median 5.0-month) follow-up)
- Promising antitumor activity, including in patients with:
- BTK inhibitor-resistant mutations
- TP53 and CXCR4 mutations
- Previous exposure to cBTK inhibitors, ncBTK inhibitors, and **BCL2** inhibitors
- These data support further investigation of BGB-16673 clinical activity in patients with WM; enrollment in CaDAnCe-101 continues

Figure 3. Treatment Duration and Response

Prior treatment

\blacktriangleright
\blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \rightarrow
\rightarrow
\rightarrow
Dose level (mg)
100
ledian follow - up: 200
(range, 0.8 - 24.6+) 350
Responses
▲ VGPR
PR
MR
♦ SD
$ \mathbb{P} NE $
 Ongoing treatment Dooth
1e (r



^a Data from gray portions of figure are not included in this presentation. ^b Bayesian optimal interval design with 6 dose levels (50-600 mg orally QD). ^c Safety was assessed according to CTCAE v5.0; DLTs were assessed during the first 4 weeks. ^d Responses were assessed per IWWM-6, modified Owen 2013 criteria after 4 weeks.

cBTK, covalent BTK; GCB, germinal center B-cell; RT, Richter transformation.

RESULTS

Disposition

- As of September 2, 2024, 27 patients with R/R WM enrolled across parts 1a-1c received BGB-16673
- Patients had a median of 3 (range, 2-11) prior lines of therapy; all 4 patients with prior noncovalent BTK inhibitor exposure were subsequently exposed to a covalent BTK inhibitor (**Table 1**)

Table 1. Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics

	Total (N=27)
Age, median (range), years	73.0 (56-81)
Male, n (%)	15 (55.6)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	14 (51.9)
1	12 (44.4)
2	1 (3.7)
Hemoglobin, median (range), g/dL	10.3 (6.0-13.5)
Neutrophils, median (range), 10 ⁹ /L	2.7 (0.21-7.43)
Platelets, median (range), 10 ⁹ /L	157 (14-455)
Mutation status, n/N with known status (%) ^a	
MYD88 mutation present	24/26 (92.3)
CXCR4 mutation present	12/25 (48.0)
BTK mutation present	11/25 (44.0)
TP53 mutation present	13/25 (52.0)
lgM, median (range), g/L	37.4 (2.8-74.4)
No. of prior lines of therapy, median (range)	3.0 (2-11)
Prior therapy, n (%)	
cBTK inhibitor	27 (100)
Chemotherapy	25 (92.6)
Proteasome inhibitor	9 (33.3)
BCL2 inhibitor	5 (18.5)
ncBTK inhibitor ^b	4 (14.8)
Discontinued prior BTK inhibitor due to PD, n (%)	21 (77.8)

Upper respiratory tract infection	3 (11.1)	0

^a Septic shock (200-mg dose level) in the context of PD. ^b Neutropenia combines preferred terms neutrophil count decreased and neutropenia. ^c Thrombocytopenia combines preferred terms platelet count decreased and thrombocytopenia.

Antitumor Activity

- In 27 efficacy-evaluable patients, ORR was 81.5% (n=22) (Table 3)
- Responses were observed starting at the lowest dose (100 mg; 7/9), in patients with prior cBTK inhibitor (22/27) or ncBTK inhibitor (4/4) treatment (Figure 3), and regardless of specific mutations
- Rapid IgM decline was seen in all patients at all dose levels; 1 patient had an IgM flare and/or rebound 1 week after starting treatment and went on to develop a PR (Figure 4)
- In patients with responses, rapid and significant improvement in cytopenias was observed

Table 3. Overall Response Rates in All Patients and by Mutation Status

	Total (N=27)
Best overall response, n (%)	
VGPR	7 (25.9)
PR	13 (48.1)
MR	2 (7.4)
SD	3 (11.1)
Not evaluable	1 (3.7)
Discontinued prior to first assessment	1 (3.7)
ORR, n (%)ª	22 (81.5)
Major response rate, n (%) ^b	20 (74.1)
Disease control rate (DCR), n (%) ^c	25 (93.0)
Follow-up, median (range), months	5.0 (0.8-24.6)
Time to first response, median (range), months ^e	1.0 (0.9-3.7)



Treatment duration, weeks

Data cutoff: September 2, 2024. First disease assessment at 4 weeks cBTKi, covalent BTK inhibitor; MR, minor response; ncBTKi, noncovalent BTK inhibitor; NE, not evaluable; VGPR, very good partial response.

Figure 4. Percentage Change From Baseline in IgM



Patient with rapid IgM increase had WM mutations in BTK, MYD88, CXCR4, and TP53 at baseline, paused treatment for 2-3 weeks due to COVID-19 infection, and developed rapid progression shortly after restarting treatment. IgM, immunoglobulin M.

Study Status

 Enrollment for CaDAnCe-101 phase 1 and phase 2 is ongoing at 100+ study sites across the US, Canada, the UK, France, Georgia, Germany, Italy, Moldova, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, Australia, South Korea, and Brazil

REFERENCES

- 1. Castillo JJ, et al. Lancet Haematol. 2020;7(11):e827-e837.
- 2. Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, et al. Ther Adv Hematol. 2021;12:2040620721989586.
- 3. Feng X, et al. EHA 2023. Abstract P1239.
- 4. Wang H, et al. EHA 2023. Abstract P1219.
- 5. Seymour JF, et al. ASH 2023. Abstract 4401.

Data cutoff: September 2, 2024.

^a Confirmed by central laboratory. ^b All 4 patients with ncBTK inhibitor exposure were exposed to a cBTK inhibitor cBTK, covalent BTK; IgM, immunoglobulin M; ncBTK, noncovalent BTK.

Safety

- Overall, 92.6% of patients (n=25) experienced all-grade TEAEs and 40.7% (n=11) experienced grade ≥3 TEAEs (**Table 2**)
- No DLTs occurred; maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was not reached with doses up to 350 mg
- Neutropenia was the most frequent all-grade (29.6%) and grade \geq 3 (25.9%) TEAE; no events of atrial fibrillation, hypertension, major hemorrhage, febrile neutropenia, or pancreatitis occurred

Mutation status, n/N tested (%)	Total (N=27)
BTK	
Mutated	10/11 (90.9)
Unmutated	11/14 (78.6)
Unknown	1/2 (50.0)
MYD88	
Mutated	20/24 (83.3)
Unmutated	1/2 (50.0)
Unknown	1/1 (100)
CXCR4	
Mutated	11/12 (91.7)
Unmutated	10/13 (76.9)
Unknown	1/2 (50.0)
TP53	
Mutated	12/13 (92.3)
Unmutated	9/12 (75.0)
Unknown	1/2 (50.0)

^a Includes best overall response of MR or better. ^b Includes best overall response of PR or VGPR. ^c Includes best overall response of SD or better. ^d In patients with a best overall response better than SD. cBTK, covalent BTK; MR, minor response; ncBTK, noncovalent BTK; VGPR, very good partial response.

DISCLOSURES

DR-W: Consulting or advisory role: AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen-Cilag, Lilly; Travel, accommodations, expenses: AbbVie, BeiGene, Janssen-Cilag. JFS: Consultancy: Genor Bio, TG Therapeutics; Honoraria: AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, BMS, Gilead, Janssen, Roche; Research funding: AbbVie, BMS, Roche; Speaker's bureau: AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BMS, Roche. CST: Honoraria: BeiGene, Janssen, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Gilead; Research funding: BeiGene, Janssen, AbbVie. **CYC:** Consultant, honoraria, membership on an entity's board of directors or advisory committees: Roche, Janssen, MSD, Gilead, Ascentage Pharma, AstraZeneca, Lilly. RDP: Honoraria: Sanofi Aventis, AstraZeneca, MJH Life Sciences, OncLive; Research funding: BMS, GSK. JNA: Consulting or advisory role: AbbVie, Adaptive Biotechnologies, ADC Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Genentech, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, NeoGenomics, Pharmacyclics; Research funding: BeiGene, Celgene/BMS, Genentech; Speaker's bureau: AbbVie, BeiGene. JT: Research funding: BeiGene, BMS, Cellectar, Roche. RA: Consulting or advisory role: ADCT, Autolus, Genentech, Genmab, Merck, Roche (Chair Steering Committee for SKYGLO Trial); Research funding: BeiGene, Daiichi Sankyo Inc., Gilead, Merck, Millenium Pharmaceuticals, Regeneron, Seattle Genetics, Genentech, Roche, Takeda. HE: Consultancy research funding, honoraria, speakers bureau: AbbVie/Pharmacyclics, BeiGene, Genentech, Incyte, MorphoSys; Research funding: AstraZeneca, Atara, BMS, Gilead/Kite, Juno. PLZ: Honoraria and speaker's bureau: Kyowa Kirin, Roche, AbbVie, BeiGene, BMS, Gilead, Novartis, Incyte, Sobi. ML: Honoraria: Janssen, AbbVie; Advisory board: Janssen, Sobi, AbbVie, Recordati. SPT: Consulting or advisory role: BeiGene, Ono, Janssen, AbbVie/Pharmacyclics; Research funding: BeiGene, Janssen, AbbVie/Pharmacyclics. KB: Employment: BeiGene. SF: Employment and may own stock: BeiGene; BMS; Advisory role, travel, accommodations, or expenses: BeiGene. DP: Employment: BeiGene. **AA:** Employee and equity holder in publicly traded company: BeiGene. **AMF:** Honoraria and Consulting or advisory role: AbbVie, BeiGene, AstraZeneca, Janssen; Travel, accommodations, expenses: AbbVie, BeiGene, AstraZeneca.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patients and their families, investigators, co-investigators, and the study teams at each of the participating centers. They would also like to thank Amber Lussier and Moto Takai for assistance in developing this presentation and Qiming Zhou from Bioinformatics for assistance on the high throughput data analysis. This study was sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd. Medical writing was provided by Brittany Gifford, PharmD, of Nucleus Global, an Inizio company, and supported by BeiGene.