

¹Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ²University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ³Alfred Hospital and Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ⁴Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA; ⁵BeOne Medicines Ltd, San Carlos, CA, USA; ⁶BeOne Medicines Ltd, London, UK; ⁷Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

- These data demonstrate the additional benefit of zanubrutinib in treatment-naïve patients with CLL with 'fit' characteristics, in terms of efficacy and safety
 - PFS estimates were higher for zanubrutinib compared with BR at 36 and 42 months, with an overall 77% reduction in the risk of progression or death
 - In addition, estimated PFS was numerically higher in patients treated with zanubrutinib in the fit subgroup than in zanubrutinib-treated patients in the overall ITT population, at the same time points
 - The overall response rate was higher with zanubrutinib than with BR (97.6% vs 88.4%, respectively)
- Overall, these results support continuous zanubrutinib monotherapy as an effective treatment option for all patients, including fit patients who might be considered for more intensive fixed-duration combination regimens

- Zanubrutinib is a highly potent and selective next-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor that was designed to provide complete and sustained target inhibition, and is approved for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)¹⁻³
- SEQUOIA (NCT03336333) is a registrational, phase 3, open-label, randomized study with four treatment arms (**Figure 1**)⁴
 - In arms A and B (cohort 1), patients with treatment-naïve CLL/small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL) without del(17p) were treated with zanubrutinib (arm A) or bendamustine + rituximab (BR; arm B); at a median follow-up of 26.2 months, zanubrutinib demonstrated superior progression-free survival (PFS) vs BR by independent review⁴
- In SEQUOIA, patients enrolled were unsuitable for treatment with fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab and were aged ≥65 years and/or had comorbidities⁴⁻⁶; most patients in this study were therefore deemed as having less fit characteristics
- Outcomes in patients with more fit characteristics in SEQUOIA, who may be candidates for intensive fixed-duration combination treatments, have not been previously examined
- In this post hoc analysis, we investigated the efficacy and safety of zanubrutinib in a fit subgroup of patients enrolled in cohort 1 of SEQUOIA

- The SEQUOIA study design is shown in **Figure 1**
- This post hoc analysis in cohort 1 excluded patients with SLL, del(17p), *TP53* mutation (or missing information), baseline creatinine clearance <50 mL/min (or missing), and Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) score >6 (or missing) (**Figure 2**); the remaining patients were analyzed as the fit subgroup
 - The excluded patients were analyzed as those who did not meet the criteria for this subanalysis
- PFS estimates were determined using Kaplan-Meier methods

Key eligibility criteria

- Untreated CLL/SLL
- Met iwCLL criteria for treatment
- Age ≥ 65 years or ≥ 18 years and unsuitable for FCR^a
- Measurable disease by CT/MRI

Study Arms and Patient Flow:

- Cohort 1 (without del(17p))** (Open label, R 1:1):
 - Arm A: Zanubrutinib** (160 mg BID until PD, intolerable toxicity, or end of study, n=241) → **Arm A: Zanubrutinib (n=123)**
 - Arm B: Bendamustine** (90 mg/m² D1 and D2 + rituximab (375 mg/m² C1, then 500 mg/m² C2-C6) $\times 6$ cycles, n=239) → **Arm B: Bendamustine + rituximab (n=129)**
- Cohort 2 (with del(17p))** → **Arm C: Zanubrutinib**
- Cohort 3 (Without del(17p) and/or TP53mut)** → **Arm D: Zanubrutinib + venetoclax**

Abbreviations: BID, twice daily; C, cycle; CRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CT, computed tomography; D, day; FCR, fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab; iwCLL, International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemias; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; mut, mutation; PD, progressive disease; R, randomized; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma.

Abbreviations: BR, bendamustine + rituximab; CIRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CrCl, creatinine clearance; ITT, intention-to-treat; SLL, small lymphocytic leukemia; WT, wild type.

- Of 479 patients enrolled in cohort 1, 252 (zanubrutinib, n=123; BR, n=129) met the fit criteria; median follow-up was 43.9 months
- Baseline demographic and disease characteristics are shown in **Table 1**
 - Median age was 71 years (range, 35-87 years), with 92.7% and 94.6% aged ≥65 years in the zanubrutinib and BR groups, respectively

Abbreviations: BR, bendamustine + rituximab; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; CIRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; CrCl, creatinine clearance; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; INV, investigator; NR, not reported.

- With a median follow-up of 40.3 months, PFS estimates were higher with zanubrutinib vs BR at 36 months (89.2% vs 57.9%, respectively) and 42 months (87.1% vs 50.0%, respectively) (**Figure 3**)
- In patients treated with zanubrutinib, higher PFS estimates were observed in the fit subgroup compared with the intention-to-treat patients and those who did not meet the fit criteria at 36 months (89.2% and 79.1%, respectively) and 42 months (87.1% and 77.4%, respectively) (**Figure 4**)

Figure 1: Progression-free survival (PFS) plot.

The plot displays PFS probability (Y-axis, 0 to 100) against time in months (X-axis, 0 to 57). Two groups are compared: Fit Zanu (blue line) and Fit BR (red line). The Fit Zanu group shows a significantly higher PFS probability compared to the Fit BR group. Key milestones include a 50.0% PFS rate for Fit BR at 42 months and a 57.9% PFS rate for Fit Zanu at 36 months. The hazard ratio (95% CI) is 0.23 (0.13-0.40), with P < .0001.

Time (Months)	Fit Zanu PFS Probability (%)	Fit BR PFS Probability (%)
0	100.0	100.0
3	100.0	98.0
6	98.0	95.0
9	95.0	90.0
12	92.0	85.0
15	90.0	80.0
18	88.0	75.0
21	85.0	70.0
24	82.0	65.0
27	80.0	60.0
30	78.0	55.0
33	75.0	50.0
36	57.9	45.0
39	55.0	40.0
42	52.0	50.0
45	50.0	45.0
48	48.0	40.0
51	45.0	35.0
54	42.0	30.0
57	40.0	25.0

Abbreviations: BR, bendamustine + rituximab; ITT, intention-to-treat; PFS, progression-free survival; Zanu, zanubrutinib

- Investigator-assessed overall response rates with zanubrutinib vs BR were 97.6% vs 88.4%, respectively
 - The complete response rate was 18.7% vs 24.8% (**Table 2**)

Abbreviations: BR, bendamustine + rituximab; CR, complete response; CRR, complete response rate; CRi, complete response with incomplete hematopoietic recovery; nPR, nodular partial response; ORR, overall response rate; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

- Most patients in the safety population (zanubrutinib, n=122 [median exposure, 43.8 months]; BR, n=122 [median exposure: bendamustine, 5.5 months; rituximab, 5.6 months]) had ≥ 1 treatment-emergent adverse event (zanubrutinib, n=116 [95.1%]; BR, n=119 [97.5%])
- Grade ≥ 3 adverse events occurred in 78 patients (63.9%) treated with zanubrutinib and 102 (83.6%) treated with BR
- The incidence rates per 100 person-months for key adverse events of interest, adjusted for exposure time, are presented in **Table 3**
 - Atrial fibrillation/flutter and hypertension rates were low and were similar between treatment arms
 - Although neutropenia rates were higher in the BR vs zanubrutinib arm (3.77 vs 0.54, respectively) and hemorrhage was higher in the zanubrutinib vs BR arm (2.04 vs 0.36), all other rates of adverse events of interest were comparable between the two arms

^aEAIRs were calculated as the number of patients with an event in each TEAE category divided by the total time from the first dose date to the first event date or the exposure time if no event occurred.

1. Guo Y, et al. *J Med Chem*. 2019;62(17):7923–7940.
2. Brukinas (zanubrutinib). Package insert. San Mateo, CA: BeOne Medicines Ltd; 2023.
3. Brukinas (zanubrutinib). Summary of product characteristics. Dublin, Ireland; BeOne Medicines Ltd; 2024.
4. Shadman M, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2025;43(7):780–787.
5. Tam CS, et al. *Haematologica*. 2021;106(9):2354–2363.
6. Shadman M, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2025;43(21):2409–2417.

This study was sponsored by BeOne Medicines, Ltd. Medical writing support was provided by Manoshi Nath, MSc, of Nucleus Global, an Inizio company, and supported by BeOne Medicines.

[illegible]