

A network meta-analysis (NMA) of efficacy of zanubrutinib versus fixed-duration acalabrutinib plus venetoclax in treatment-naïve (TN) chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)

Authors: Mazyar Shadman¹, Keri Yang², Sheng Xu³, Rhys Williams², Talha Munir⁴

Affiliations: ¹Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ²BeOne Medicines Ltd., Cambridge, MA, USA; ³BeOne Medicines Ltd., Shanghai, China; ⁴Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust, Leeds, West Yorkshire, UK

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: While the efficacy of zanubrutinib (ZANU) has been evaluated in the phase 3 SEQUOIA trial (NCT03336333), and the combination regimen of fixed duration acalabrutinib plus venetoclax (AV) has been reported in recent interim analysis of the phase 3 AMPLIFY trial (NCT03836261), the efficacy of these oral regimens has not been directly compared in head-to-head trials. Thus, a NMA was conducted to estimate the relative efficacy in low-risk TN CLL patients.

METHODS: A systematic literature review was conducted to identify phase 3 randomized controlled trials including low-risk CLL patients to be included in the NMA. Low-risk populations were defined based on the pre-specified trial definitions, including patients without del(17p) or *TP53* mutations. Bendamustine plus rituximab (BR) and fludarabine plus cyclophosphamide and rituximab (FCR)/BR were assumed to be treated as common control arms in the network. Bayesian NMA framework was used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% credible intervals (CrIs). Outcomes analyzed included progression-free survival (PFS) in low-risk patients and subgroup analysis by IGHV mutation status. Given the timing of the included trials in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic, PFS data were analyzed with and without adjustment for COVID-19 related deaths.

RESULTS: The NMA demonstrated a favorable PFS of ZANU over AV, HR_{PFS} (95% CrI) = 0.41 (0.25, 0.67). The 36-month PFS rate for ZANU was 85.6% versus 76.5% for AV. Results were consistent with COVID-19 adjustment, HR_{PFS} = 0.28 (0.16, 0.49). Subgroup analysis examining IGHV mutation status demonstrated that the HR_{PFS} (95% CrI) of ZANU versus AV in low-risk IGHV unmutated and mutated patients were 0.30 (0.16, 0.57) and 0.49 (0.21, 1.13), respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: This NMA found a statistically significant improvement in PFS for ZANU over AV for patients with low-risk TN CLL. The observed efficacy differences should be interpreted under the limitation and assumptions of NMA, with further analysis upon trial data maturation.