

**RATIONALE 309: A randomized, global, double-blind, Phase 3 trial of tislelizumab (TIS) vs placebo, plus gemcitabine + cisplatin (GP), as 1L treatment for recurrent/metastatic nasopharyngeal cancer (RM-NPC)**

**Authors:**

Yunpeng Yang<sup>1</sup>, Jianji Pan<sup>2</sup>, Hui Wang<sup>3</sup>, Shenhong Qu<sup>4</sup>, Nianyong Chen<sup>5</sup>, Xiaozhong Chen<sup>6</sup>, Yan Sun<sup>7</sup>, Xiaohui He<sup>8</sup>, Chaosu Hu<sup>9</sup>, Lizhu Lin<sup>10</sup>, Qitao Yu<sup>11</sup>, Siyang Wang<sup>12</sup>, Guihua Wang<sup>13</sup>, Feng Lei<sup>14</sup>, Jiyu Wen<sup>15</sup>, Kunyu Yang<sup>16</sup>, Zhixiong Lin<sup>17</sup>, Yanjie Wu<sup>18</sup>, Wenfeng Fang<sup>1</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>1</sup>

1. Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, 16th Floor, No.2 Building, Dongfeng East Road, Yuexiu District, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
2. Fujian Cancer Hospital, No. 420, Fuma Road, Jinan District, Fuzhou, Fujian
3. Hunan Cancer Hospital, No.283, Tongzipo Road, Yuelu District, Changsha City, Hunan, China
4. The People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Otolaryngology Department, 7th Floor, the No.3 Building, No. 6 Taoyuan Road, Qingxiu District, Nanning, Guangxi, China
5. West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Floor 6, the third inpatient building, Wuhou District, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China
6. Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, No. 1 Banshan Road, Gongshu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
7. Beijing Cancer Hospital, No.52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100142, China
8. Cancer Hospital Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, No. 17 Panjiayuananli, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100021, China
9. Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Centre, No. 270, Dong'an Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, China
10. The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Traditional Chinese Medicine University, No.16 Airport Road, Baiyun District, Guangzhou City, Guangdong
11. The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, No. 71 Hedi Road, Nanning, Guangxi, China
12. The Fifth Affiliated Hospital Sun Yat-sen University, No.52 Meihua East Road, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai City, Guangzhou Province, China, 519000
13. Changsha Central hospital, No. 161, Shaoshan South Road, Changsha, Hunan, China
14. The People's Hospital of Zhongshan City, No.2 Sunwen East Road, Zhongshan, Guangdong, China
15. Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University, No. 57 South Renmin Road, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, 524000, P. R. China
16. Union Hospital of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1277 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan, Hubei, China, 430022
17. Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, No.7 Raoping Road, Shantou, Guangdong
18. BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. 20/F, Tower 3, Jing An Kerry Centre, 1228 Middle Yan'an Road, Shanghai 200040 China

## Background

TIS is an anti-PD-1 antibody engineered to minimize FcγR binding, a mechanism of T-cell clearance and potential anti-PD-1 resistance. TIS demonstrated antitumor activity in NPC as a single agent in a Phase 1/2 study (CTR20160872). This randomized, double-blind, Phase 3 study evaluated TIS + GP vs placebo + GP as 1L treatment for RM-NPC (NCT03924986).

## Methods

Eligible pts with RM-NPC were randomized 1:1 to receive TIS (Arm A) or placebo (Arm B) (200 mg IV D1) plus G (1 g/m<sup>2</sup> IV D1, D8) and P (80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D1) every three weeks (Q3W) for 4–6 cycles followed by TIS or placebo Q3W until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal. After disease progression, patients in Arm B could crossover to receive TIS monotherapy. The primary endpoint was independent review committee-assessed progression-free survival (PFS<sub>IRC</sub>). Secondary endpoints included objective response rate (ORR<sub>IRC</sub>), duration of response (DoR<sub>IRC</sub>), investigator-assessed PFS (PFS<sub>INV</sub>), and safety.

## Results

A total of 263 pts were randomized to Arm A (n=131) and Arm B (n=132). At the interim analysis (data cut-off: Mar 26, 2021), median follow-up was 10.0 months (m). Median PFS<sub>IRC</sub> was significantly longer for Arm A vs B (HR 0.52 [95% CI: 0.38, 0.73]; median PFS: 9.2 vs 7.4 m; p<0.0001). PFS benefit in Arm A was consistent across most subgroups. PFS<sub>INV</sub> was consistent with PFS<sub>IRC</sub> (HR 0.54 [0.38, 0.76]; median 9.8 vs 7.6 m). ORR<sub>IRC</sub> and median DoR<sub>IRC</sub> were 69.5% and 8.5 m (Arm A) and 55.3% and 6.1 m (Arm B), with 21 (16.0%) and 9 (6.8%) patients achieving complete response, respectively. The 12-month PFS<sub>IRC</sub> event-free rate was 35.7% (Arm A) and 12.2% (Arm B). Safety is described in the **Table**.

## Conclusions

TIS + GP significantly prolonged PFS vs GP alone as 1L therapy for RM-NPC. ORR and DoR were increased for TIS + GP vs GP alone. The safety profile of TIS + GP was manageable and consistent with previous reports, with no new safety signals identified.

**Table:** Summary of TEAEs

%	Arm A (n=131)	Arm B (n=132)
≥ 1 TEAE	100.0	99.2
≥ Grade 3 TEAE	80.9	81.8
Serious TEAE	27.5	33.3
TEAE leading to discontinuation of TIS/placebo	5.3	3.8
≥ Grade 3 immune-mediated TEAE	2.3	-

TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event; TIS, tislelizumab.